1 2 3	SANDRA R. BROWN Acting United States Attorney DOROTHY A. SCHOUTEN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division	
4	DAVID K. BARRETT Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Fraud Section California Bar Number 149882 Federal Building, Suite 7516 300 North Los Angeles Street	JS-6
5		
6		t
7	Los Angeles, California 900 Telephone: (213) 894-0522	12
8	Facsimile: (213) 894-7819 E-mail: david.barrett@usdoj.gov	
9	Attorneys for the United States of America	
10		
11	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	WESTERN DIVISION	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel.	Case No. CV 11-02036 JFW (AJWx)
15	VIKI BELL-MANAKO,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION
16	Plaintiffs,	
		[JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST FOR
17	v.	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM
17 18	V. BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST
	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; <u>QUI</u> <u>TAM</u> PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR
18 19 20	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S CONSENT THEREON FILED
18 19 20 21	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S
18 19 20 21 22	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S CONSENT THEREON FILED
18 19 20 21 22 23	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S CONSENT THEREON FILED
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S CONSENT THEREON FILED
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S CONSENT THEREON FILED
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S CONSENT THEREON FILED
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BRIUS MANAGEMENT CO., a California corporation; et al.,	DISMISSAL OF ACTION; QUI TAM PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION AGAINST REMAINING DEFENDANTS AND UNITED STATES' AND CALIFORNIA'S CONSENT THEREON FILED

Plaintiffs the United States of America ("United States") and the State of California ("California"), and qui tam plaintiff Viki Bell-Manako (the "relator"), having jointly requested, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and in accordance with and subject to the settlement agreement of October 31, 2017 ("the Settlement Agreement"), among these parties and defendants Point Loma Rehabilitation Center LLC dba Point Loma Convalescent Hospital, B-San Diego LLC dba Brighton Place - San Diego, B-Spring Valley, LLC dba Brighton Place - Spring Valley, and B-East dba Amaya Springs Health Care Center, that the action against these four defendants be dismissed, and the relator having further requested, and the United States and California having given their consent in the interests of justice, that the action against defendants Brius Management Co., Brius LLC, Shlomo Rechnitz, and Guy Reggev also be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

IT IS ORDERED that:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. With respect to the Covered Conduct as defined in the Settlement Agreement, the action against defendants Point Loma Rehabilitation Center LLC dba Point Loma Convalescent Hospital, B-San Diego LLC dba Brighton Place San Diego, B-Spring Valley, LLC dba Brighton Place Spring Valley, and B-East dba Amaya Springs Health Care Center is dismissed with prejudice as to the United States, California, and the relator, subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement; and
- 2. With respect to conduct that is outside the Covered Conduct as defined in the Settlement Agreement, the action

against defendants Point Loma Rehabilitation Center LLC dba

Point Loma Convalescent Hospital, B-San Diego LLC dba Brighton

Place - San Diego, B-Spring Valley, LLC dba Brighton Place
Spring Valley, and B-East dba Amaya Springs Health Care Center

is dismissed without prejudice as to the United States and

California and with prejudice as to the relator, subject to the

terms of the Settlement Agreement.

3. The action against the remaining defendants, Brius Management Co., Brius LLC, Shlomo Rechnitz, and Guy Reggev, is dismissed without prejudice as to the United States and California, and with prejudice as to the relator.

Dated: November 16, 2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-2-